

# 2009 SCFAR Kickoff Meeting

## January 27, 2009

---





# Topics for Group Discussion

---

- Proper classification of Program Development Activities
- Work performed for Other DOE Contractors

# Program Development (PDM) Activities

---

- ❑ Issue surfaced during the FY2007 INL review
- ❑ INL reported PDM cost in Executive Direction, under the guise of “strategic planning”
- ❑ Review Team recommended that PDM be moved to Info/Outreach – with further SCFAR Peer Review discussion
- ❑ FY2003 PNNL review recommended moving PDM from Other to Info/Outreach (*consistent with INL recommendation*)
- ❑ Per DOE SCFAR guidance for Info/Outreach: “Cost associated with...business development...”
- ❑ Recommendation to FMSIC necessary?



# Work performed for Other DOE Contractors

---

- SCFAR Guidance includes section on Work for Others:
- “Contractor actually performing the work will report costs for work performed between other field offices and DOE contractors. This includes work between contractors at a site and reimbursable inter-contractor work orders.
- “...Functional support costs that are normally paid by a contractor, but are actually being paid by a field office, are to be included in the report in the appropriate category(s).”

# Work performed for Other DOE Contractors – cont'd

---

- How should “pass-through” costs be handled?
- e.g. Utilities being procured by one M&O with cost transferred to other on site M&O's
- FMSIC determined that commodities – such as utilities – should be reported by the site CONSUMING that commodity.
- **SUMMARY:** Pass-through type cost will be reported by the *consuming* organization, and WFO cost will continue to be reported by the organization *performing the work*.